Firstly, we see that we have multiple witnesses with different stories (testimonies). (certes, mais votre phrase ne démontre pas des inconsistences ou des erreurs, essayez une introduction avec davantage de tensions) Sharon Davis and Minnie Jackson say that they saw Mcmillian in (at the) Church helping with cooking while Ralph Myer and Bill hook affirm that they saw Mcmillian in (at) the crime scene. Everybody took the oath, but there is (are) two different version of the story, it means that a group (one of them) is lying. But strangely only Jackson and Davis’s testimonies were ignored.

This situation led us to search more about the witnesses. Jackson and Davis have both an empty criminal record. But when it comes to Hook and Myer it is another story. They both had legal sentences before testifying against McMillian. Hook was sentenced to 2 years of jail with 120 hours of community work, and everything was dropped after he testified. Myer’s death sentence turned into 30 years in jail. This could be just a strange coincidence, but we also found a proof that Hook’s testimony was a lie.

His friend, Darnell Houston, also came to the Sheriff Tate. He’s indirect witness. He testified that he went to a shop with Hook while taking an oath. His testimony didn’t match with Hook’s one (pas besoin de mettre one, juste Hook’s suffit). And it was later proved that Darnell lied. It seems that he wanted to cover his friend.

And lastly, we must look at the date of when Mr Tate became the Sheriff of the town. It was just more than a week before the crime happened. When the sheriff was voted (elected) he promised that he would make the city a better place and solve every investigation. He may be needed to find the criminal at any price and accused the wrong person.

Dans l’ensemble votre synthèse est bonne. Elle reste peut-être un peu scolaire parfois dans le sens ou les transitions sont très rigides et manque de fluidité. Il faut peut-être mettre davantage les idées en relations avec des mots de liaisons logiques plutôt que d’utiliser régulièrement des mots de liaisons utilisant l’addition ou des transitions chronologique (lastly, then, etc.)